US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Date Out of EAB: Lois Rossi/Larry Schnaubelt To: Product Manager (21) Registration Division (TS)767C) From: Frank Davido, Chief Frank Davido Field Studies and Special Projects Section #5 Exposure Assessment Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS)769C) THRU: Paul F. Schuda, Chief Exposure Assessment Branch/HED (TS)769C) Attached, please find the EAB review of .... Reg./File # : 707-ERL Chemical Name: Myclobutanil Type Product : Fungicide Product Name : Systhane, Rally, RH-3866 Company Name : Rohm and Haas Purpose : Review Dislodgeable Residue Data on Grapes Submitted In Support of New Chemical Registration Action code : 300 Date Received: 2/29/88 Date Completed: 6/1/88 \_\_\_\_\_ EAB # (s) : 80473 TAIS Code : 42 Monitoring Study Requested: \_\_\_\_ Reviewing Time: \5 days Monitoring Study Voluntarily: Deferrals to: Ecological Effects Branch Residue Chemistry Branch Toxicology Branch

Shaughnessy No.: 128857

#### CHEMICAL:

Common/Chemical Name: Myclobutanil

Product Name: alpha-Butyl-alpha-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-

triazole-1-propanenitrile

Other Names: Systhane, Rally, RH-3866

Company: Rohm & Haas Company

Shaughnessy No.: 128857

Structure:

$$C1 - CN \\ C - CH_2 - N N \\ C_{4H_9}$$

#### TEST MATERIAL: 2.

Dislodgeable residues on grape foliage after the application of Rally (myclobutanil) 60DF, a 60% dry-flowable formulation.

# STUDY/ACTION TYPE:

Data submitted for foliar dislodgeable residues on grapes in support of registration of myclobutanil.

### STUDY IDENTIFICATION:

Reg. File Nos.: 707-ERL Accession Nos.: 404893-02 : 214087 Record Nos. MRID #s: Not Available

"Determination of Dislodgeable Residues of Myclobutanil on Grape Foliage, W. J. Zogorski, III, completed on November 9, 1987 by Rohm and Haas Company, Technical Report No. 31S-87-10.

#### REVIEWED BY: 5.

Linda L. Kutney, Chemist Monitoring Section 6 EAB/HED/OPP

## APPROVED BY:

James D. Adams, Chemist Field Studies and Special Projects EAB/HED/OPP

#### 7. CONCLUSIONS:

The petitioner used different methods than EAB to estimate whole body dose. The petitioners calculations resulted in much lower exposure estimates. For this reason, we will use our own methods of calculation for whole body dose and allowable exposure limit.

The most sensitive toxicological effect of Myclobutanil (i.e. the effect that occurs at a lower dosage than any other effect) is testicular atrophy, so reentry exposure to male workers was examined. The average whole body dose for a 70 kg male reentering a field just after the last application of myclobutanil at the maximum label rate is about 0.6 mg/kg/day. Using the No Effect Level (NOEL) of 2.5 mg/kg/day and a safety factor of 100, we estimate that 0.025 mg/kg/day is an appropriate Allowable Exposure Limit (AEL) for myclobutanil.

Analysis of the submitted data for males, compensating for the fact that the maximum allowable label rate of application was not used in the testing, we estimate that it will take 33 days for the exposure level for workers entering vineyards treated with Myclobutanil to decline to the allowable exposure limit.

#### 8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

We recommend that the Registration Division require the petitioner to place a reentry interval of 33 days on the labels for the proposed use of Myclobutanil on grapes.

The petitioner may wish to submit evidence that Myclobutanil does not readily absorb through the skin. As an alternative to this, the petitoners may wish to reduce the maximum rate allowed on the label.

# 9. BACKGROUND:

## Introduction:

The data were submitted by Rohm and Haas Co. to support the registration of myclobutanil. The study was submitted to fulfill Guidelines Requirement 132-1 (dislodgeable residue dissipation).

# Directions For Use:

Myclobutanil is a fungicide used for the control of powdery mildew and rust disease in perennial grasses grown for seed, turf grass, apples, and grapes. It is applied as a ground or aerial spray at 6 to 12 oz ai/A in the spring or early summer; applications are repeated at 14- to 21-day intervals until two weeks before harvest.

# 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS AND STUDIES:

#### A: MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Pesticide Application:

Myclobutanil (Rally, 60% D, Rohm and Haas Co.) was applied using ground equipment (airblast sprayers) to a field plot (5 to 10 acres) in each of three different grape vineyards located in McFarland, CA (Ruby Seedless grapes), Earlimart, CA (Thompson Seedless grapes), and Madera, CA (Ruby Seedless grapes). The pesticide was applied four times, at 0.075-0.1125 lb ai/A, and a fifth time, at 0.125 lb ai/A, at intervals of about 2 weeks during April, May, and June, 1987. Each vineyard plot was treated with a total of 0.5 lb ai/A myclobutanil, the maximum label rate is 0.6 lb ai/A/year.

### Analytical Methods:

For all samples except those intended for extractable residue analysis, leaf-disc samples were washed three times (20 minutes each time) on a reciprocating shaker with a detergent solution of 4 drops of Sur-Ten (1:50 dilution) in water. The three washes were combined, stored frozen in dry ice, and shipped to the lab. Samples were spiked in the field with 15 mg of myclobutanil; recoveries ranged from 70 to 106%. Recovery from wash samples spiked in the lab with 5-20 mg myclobutanil ranged from 87 to 114%.

Leaf wash samples were thawed at room temperature, extracted with methylene chloride, and the organic phase filtered through anhydrous sodium sulfate. The methylene chloride extracts were evaporated to dryness, redissolved in acetone:toluene (1:50), and analyzed for myclobutanil dislodgeable residues using GC with thermionic detection.

Unwashed leaf-disc samples were extracted in a blender with methanol, and the macerated sample filtered. The filtrate was mixed with sodium chloride and partitioned with hexane. The aqueous phase was extracted with methylene chloride, and the organic extracts were filtered through anhydrous sodium sulfate, evaporated to dryness, and redissolved in acetone:toluene (1:50). The extracts were cleaned up using Bio-Sil A column chromatography, and the eluant was mixed with methanol:toluene (3:100) and analyzed for myclobutanil residues using GC with electron capture detection.

#### Foliar Dislodgeable Residues (FDRs):

Three replicate samples of 60 leaf-discs each were collected from nonadjacent rows of grapevines in the center of each vine-yard plot, using a leaf punch (2.54 cm in diameter), one day prior to the fifth application, and on days 0 (at 2 and 8 hours post treatment), 1, 3, 5, 7, 14, 21, 28, and 35 after the fifth

application. Leaf-disc samples were kept on ice prior to removal of dislodgeable residues. In addition, three replicate samples of 90 leaf-discs each were collected from the McFarland, CA vineyard test plot at each sampling interval; these samples were immediately frozen in dry ice, and were later shipped to the lab for use in determining the amount of extractable residues of myclobutanil in grape leaves. An additional, untreated plot in each vineyard served as a control.

#### B: REPORTED RESULTS

Dislodgeable Residues:

The foliar dislodgeable residue data appear to be of very good quality, in general.

A summary of the FDR's reported by Rohm and Haas along with whole body dose rates estimated from FDRs using the EAB exposure data base are included in the table below. Note that averages of dislodgeable foliar residue, based on one side of the leaf, are included in Table 1 below.

Analysis was submitted only for the parent myclobutanil compound, no data were submitted for any of its metabolites. Although the residue of concern in grapes consistes of parent and RH-9090 free and conjugated metabolite, only 14% of the residue is expected to be metabolite (Memo by Pamela Hurley, Toxicology Branch, PP#7F3476/FAP#7H5524, 4/5/88, Response to RCB Request for a List of the Regulable Residues in the Tolerance Expression).

Based on other published data, at least 99% of this whole body dose exposure is expected to be via the dermal route. In his 4/22/88 exposure assessment review of myclobutanil, Curt Lunchick concluded that "the factor that had the greatest influence on reducing the exposure to mixer/loaders was the use of protective gloves." The same conclusion could be made of workers reentering treated fields.

The petitioner claims that a 90% reduction to exposure exists due to a clothing protection factor supported by Popendorf et al., 1982, "Regulating OP Pesticide Residues for Farmworker Protection." When EAB read this article, this reference was not found. Popendorf did say that dermal contact to the hands of citrus workers in California was reduced 90% or more by the use of nylon, knit gloves. EAB agrees that clothing uncontaminated by pesticide residues affords some measure of protection to those parts of the body that are covered. However, that level of protection is expected to be a function of the type of cloth. Use of a reduction factor for clothing further assumes that the clothing is worn and is not itself a source of exposure to the residues; i.e. that the clothing is washed each day. For these reasons, the following tables (using EAB calculations) should be used to estimate the worker exposure rather than the petitioner's exposure estimations.

TABLE 1:

FOLIAR DISLODGEABLE RESIDUE AND HUMAN REENTRY EXPOSURE LEVELS Myclobutanil on California Grapes

	Para Dana				
Days After Last		Rs t	Avg Whole Body Dose,		
Application	Reported	Average	4.	/O h	
	$(ng/cm^2)$	$(ng/cm^2)$	,mg/hour	mg/8-hr day	
0	16			ļ.	
	38				
	158	71	0.70	5.6	
0 (2 Hours)	316				
• •	360	:			
	376	351	4.50	36.0	
0 (8 Hours)	290				
•	328				
	346	321	4.00	32.0	
1	248				
	300			000	
	334	294	3.50	28.0	
3	232				
	250			05.6	
	310	264	3.20	25.6	
5	198				
	226			17.6	
	134	186	2.20	17.6	
7	140			1 /	
-	208			12.4	
	88	145	1.55	12.4	
14	56	1			
	88		0.60	- 4	
·	66	70	0.68	5.4	
21	30				
	58			2 72	
4	26	38	0.34	2.72	
28	16				
	50		0.05	2 00	
	22	29	0.25	2.00	
35	14				
	26			7 10	
	14	18	0.14	1.12	
				1	

<sup>†</sup> These Foliar Dislodgeable Residues were calculated by EAB from the submitted data but are based on one side of the leaf in order to be useful with EAB's surrogate exposure data base for fieldworkers.

TABLE 2: DERMAL EXPOSURE RATES CALCULATED BY EAB Myclobutanil on California Grapes

Days After Last	Average FDRs	Average Whole Body Dose		
Application	ng/cm <sup>2</sup>	mg/8-hr day	mg/kg/day	
0 (Previous to last spray)	70	5 <sub>•</sub> 6	0.080	
0 (2 Hours) 0 (8 Hours) 1 3 5 7 14 21 28 35	350 322 294 264 186 146 70 38 30 18	36.0 32.0 28.0 25.6 17.6 12.4 5.4 2.72 2.00 1.12	0.514 0.457 0.400 0.366 0.251 0.177 0.077 0.039 0.029 0.016	

# C: STUDY AUTHORS CONCLUSIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE MEASURES

Control punches were fortified in the field with myclobutanil. Reported recoveries ranged from 90 to 122%. Fortifications were also done in the lab, at the 10 and 20 mg level; recoveries ranged from 101 to 114%. As expected, these recoveries are higher than those performed in the field. Although these fortifications were done at a much higher concentration than that of the samples, the recoveries were acceptable.

No field-fortifications or sample results of any metabolites were submitted. Assuming that the concentration of parent is an adequate estimation of total foliar dislodgeable residues, the recoveries and quality control are acceptable.

# D: REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF STUDY RESULTS

EAB expects that nearly 100% of the human reentry exposure for the proposed use of myclobutanil on grapes will be via the dermal route. The submitted data (although not worse case because the maximum 0.6 lb. a.i./A rate was not applied) suggests that at the 0.83 X rate of application (0.5 lb. a.i./A), about 350 ng/cm<sup>2</sup> FDR could be expected initally. After 3 days, this amount dropped to 264 ng/cm<sup>2</sup>; after 7 days, less than 186 ng/cm<sup>2</sup> was available as FDR. By 35 days, only 18 ng/cm<sup>2</sup> of myclobutanil was available as foliar dislodgeable residue. The dissipation of the foliar residues is not rapid — it took about 6 days for a decrease of 50% to occur.

In terms of whole body dose to a worker exposed for 8 hours, EAB calculates that an initial dose due to dislodgeable residues of 36 mg/day is expected to decrease to 25.6 mg/day after 3 days, 12.4 mg/day after 7 days and 1.1 mg/day after 35 days.

The petitioner apparently used Popendorf's transfer equation to estimate the following dermal exposure rates:

TABLE 3, Exposure estimated by the petitioner:

Days	after	application	Whole Body (mg/day)	
1			1.221	. <b>#</b> .
3			0.997	
5			0.782	
7			0.625	
14			0.287	

These results reported by Rohm and Haas are an average of 22% of the EAB estimated value for whole body dose. This is equivalent to almost 80% lower whole body doses rates. The major cause for this difference is the clothing protection factor which was used by the petitioner to reduce the dose to 10% of the amount which would otherwise be predicted. In addition, the petitioner used a two-sided calculation for the area of the leaf, and EAB assumes that the leaf collects residue predominantly on one-side. and other possible differences in calculation technique lead to large differences in the final whole body dose. EAB does not agree with the conclusion that only 10% of the exposure should be considered due to a clothing protection factor. evidence will be needed to show that such an exposure reduction is appropriate. The Popendorf reference sited earlier in this review does not appear to support the petitioner's conclusion about the 90% clothing protection factor.

A 2.5 mg/kg/day No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) was used by the Toxicology Branch for calculation of the Allowable Daily Intake of myclobutanil. The 2.5 mg/kg/day NOEL could be used to estimate the allowable exposure to foliar dislodgeable residues. Using a safety factor of 100, we estimate an allowable exposure level (AEL) of 0.025 mg/kg/day for myclobutanil. Because the chronic effect is testicular atrophy, we have considered the exposure to a 70 kg male.

The EAB-calculated whole body doses in Table 1 could then be compared with the 0.025 mg/kg/d AEL to estimate exposure. The highest dermal exposure estimated from submitted data was 36 mg/d at 2 hours after the last application of myclobutanil.

This is equivalent to 0.514 mg/kg/day myclobutanil for a 70 kg man reentering a vineyard 2 hours after the last treatment. Compensating for the fact that the maximum 0.6 lb. a.i./acre yearly treatment was not applied, (only 0.5 lb. a.i./acre was applied), up to 0.62 mg/kg/day myclobutanil would be expected as a whole body dose to the same man at 2 hours after application.

It would take an estimated 30 day period following the last dosage of myclobutanil for the whole body dose to decrease to the AEL of 0.025 mg/kg/day. This amount would increase to 33 days compensating for the fact that the maximum dose of 0.6 lb. a.i./acre was not used to generate the submitted data.

11. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER:

Not Applicable

12. CBI APPENDIX:

Not Applicable

#### 44866 Hec Confidential Business Information — Does Not Contain National Security Information (E.O. 12065) 1. CHEMICAL NAME MYCLOBUTANIL TO BE COMPLETED BY PM 4. ACCESSION NUMBER 3. ACTION CODE 2. IDENTIFYING NUMBER 5. RECORD NUMBER 214087 III707 - ERL 6. REFERENCE NUMBER 7. DATE RECEIVED (EPA) 01/27/88 8. STATUTORY DUE DATE 9. PRODUCT MANAGER (PM) ROSSI/SCHNAUBEL 10. PM TEAM NUMBER TO BE COMPLETED BY PCB 14. CHECK IF APPLICABLE ☐ Minor Use Public Health/Quarantine 12. PRIORITY NUMBER Part of IPM ☐ Substitute Chemical Review Requires Less Than 4 Hours Seasonal Concern F. INSTRUCTIONS 15. INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWER REVIEW DISLOSCEABLE RESIDUE C. BFSD A. HED Total Assessment - 3(c)(5) D. TSS/RD Incremental Risk Assessment - 3(c)(7) and/or E.L. Johnson memo of May 12, 1977. E. Other CUPPORT OF NEW CHEINICAL B. SPRD (Send Copy of Form to SPRD PM) Chemical Undergoing Active RPAR Review Registration Standards Review ☐ Chemical Undergoing Active ATTY: JIM 16. RELATED ACTIONS NOTE: MATA PREVIOUSLY FORWARDED TO HED/EAB 18. REVIEWS SENT TO ☐ EEB ∴ 🗆 EF DPL . □тв Use Any or All Available Information Use Only Attached Data Use Only the Attached Data for Formulation and Any or All Available Information on the Technical or Manufacturing Chemical. Use Only Attached Data □ сн ☐ BFSD X EFB □ всв NUMBER OF ACTIONS Other MNR USE TYPE OF REVIEW 19. ΙTο EUP Petition Registration TOXICOLOGY ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS RESIDUE CHEMISTRY ENVIRONMENTAL DATE resident. CHEMISTRY . . . ---EFFICACY ILL PRECAUTIONARY LABELING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS 24. Include an Original and 4 (for 23. Date Returned to RD Representative Copies of This Completed Fo Confidential 2.55 21. ☐ Statement of 20. Ushel Submitted 20. Attached 22. Accepted Uses (to be completed by for Each Branch Checked for HED) Review. .... Attached Formula .....

REGISTRATION DIVISION DATA REVIEW RECORD